

STONEHENGE LOCAL HIGHWAYS AND FOOTWAYS IMPROVEMENT GROUP ACTION NOTES

	Item	Update	Actions and recommendations	Who
	Date of MS Teams meeting: 19th April 2023			
	<p>There have been changes to the format of the CATG as agreed in the budget for 2022/23. The groups names have been changed to Local Highway & Footway Improvement Groups (LHFIG), there is additional funding and the scope of works the groups can implement has been widened. The new process has been ratified by Cabinet. The process for submitting issues is unchanged therefore continue as set out below:</p> <p>Please see link to complete the highway improvements form: https://www.wiltshire.gov.uk/article/6149/Local-Highway-and-Footway-Improvement-Groups and submit to LHFIGrequests@wiltshire.gov.uk</p>			
	<p><u>Chairmans announcement:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • It is recommended that no local contributions towards the costs of any ongoing waiting restrictions batches raised within the group • Maternity cover for Kate Davey – Kate due to return in October and will attend the next meeting. • Expectation of LHFIG project progress and delays in implementation of projects. Suggestion that Cllrs raise these concerns at a political level to understand the limited resources to complete projects over a single financial year and spend allocated budget. • Following a recent scrutiny from the chairman, it has shown that we are unable to currently fully resource the implementation of the LHFIG activities and with the new contactor delays programmes are slow but starting to now. • Netheravon speed reduction implementation trial is going ahead within this financial year – supported and funded entirely by the LHFIG. • The format of the agenda has changed, following the removal of the need for a 'top 5'. We will now have a section which requires a discussion and one which does not. • Completed items: Bulford informal crossings, Amesbury vets directional sign, Great Wishford 20mph roundels • For this financial year we have a remaining budget of £17,265.67 			
1.	Attendees and apologies			
	Present:	Cllr Graham Wright (Chair, WC), Cllr Ian Blair Pilling(WC), Cllr Dr Monica Devendran(WC), Cllr Robert Yuill (WC), Rhiann Surgenor(WC), Andy Shuttleworth (Winterbourne Stoke), A.Briggs (Figcheldean), Chris Musselwhite (Great Wishford), Aaron Dobson (WC), Michelle McGregor (WC), Peter Paul (Durrington), Rae Owen (Woodford & Durnford), Sean Noble (Great Wishford), Steve Black (South Newton), Richard Harris		

STONEHENGE LOCAL HIGHWAYS AND FOOTWAYS IMPROVEMENT GROUP ACTION NOTES

	Item	Update	Actions and recommendations	Who
	Apologies:	(Shrewton), David Hassett (Shrewton), Chris Coates (Orcheston), Richard Ayling (Netheravon), Deborah Potter (Tilshead), Ted Mustard (Woodford) Graham Jenkins (Bulford)		
2.	Notes of previous meeting			
		The notes of the last meeting held on 19 th April 2023 were accepted as a true record.		
3.	Financial Position			
		See Finance sheet. 2023/24 allocation is £35,462.00. 2022/23 underspend was £58,021.92 and the current commitments for 2022/23 are a total of £50,041, Commitments for 2023/24 so far equate to £43,350 giving a remaining budget of £17,265.67		

STONEHENGE LOCAL HIGHWAYS AND FOOTWAYS IMPROVEMENT GROUP ACTION NOTES

4.	New issues / Issues requiring a decision		
a)	1-23-8 The Hollow / Tanners Lane Give Way Shrewton	There is no Give Way Sign or equivalent road markings on the junction of The Hollow and Tanners Lane, Shrewton. Vehicles and cycles often come down the hill of The Hollow, at speed, and cut across the top of Tanners Lane without checking to see if traffic is coming north up Tanners, which would have right of way.	Action – Agreed to proceed by the group
b)	1-23-9 SID sockets & post A360 Tilshead	Tilshead is one of the only villages in the area that does not have a Speed Indication Device (SID). We are now in a position to request 2 x sockets and 1 x post to be installed in the village on which we can mount a SID. The intention is to purchase one SID but be able to move it to 2 locations periodically in order to have a greater effect on speeding motorists.	Action – Agreed to proceed by the group
c)	1-23-10 Bollard request Lower Backway Shrewton	Vehicles are driving along the footpath using this point of entry both damaging the riverbank and footpath and causing a potential danger to pedestrians using the footpath. Vehicles also regularly park on the grass in this area blocking the footpath for pedestrians and causing damage.	Action – Agreed to proceed by the group
d)	1-23-11 Signing improvements Middle Woodford	Modern SatNavs offer an "alternate routing" if they detect traffic congestion en route. When there is congestion on the westbound A303 in the Stonehenge environs, westbound traffic is offered an alternate route via Amesbury, the C42 and the Wishford Road. Lately there have been multiple incidents of motorists following their SatNav and mistaking the private drive at ///subplot.dinosaur.besotted for the Wishford Road at ///paddle.digress.deranged . Cars and vans reversing on the drive in order to return to the C42 have damaged property. Despite a new cul-de-sac sign, incidents continue. I recommend: 1 - A road sign should be placed on the corner of the C42/Wishford Road junction at ///paddle.digress,deranged . The sign should read WISHFORD ROAD with (CHURCH BOTTOM) below. This would draw drivers' attention to the correct turning. The additional logo CHURCH BOTTOM would help reduce confusion because on SatNav databases the Wishford Road is often referred to as Church Bottom. 2 - The	Action – Agreed to proceed by the group

STONEHENGE LOCAL HIGHWAYS AND FOOTWAYS IMPROVEMENT GROUP ACTION NOTES

		WISHFORD road sign (black and white) at ///lobby.blackmail.families should be replaced by a sign which includes (in colour) directions to the A360, A36 & A303.		
e)	1-23-12 Signing improvements Upper Woodford	It is two years since Woodford Parish Council drew Wiltshire Highway's attention to safety concerns on the C42. The major concern was the confliction between pedestrians, cyclists and horse riders proceeding between between Upper and Middle Woodford. This is caused by the narrow width of the road, the lack of a pavement, the blind summit, the bend and the inappropriate speed limit of 40 MPH. If no early remedial action, such as reducing the speed limit to 30 MPH and provision of a dedicated footway, is to be taken then it is recommended strongly that a red REDUCE SPEED NOW sign together with a "Pedestrians in road ahead" warning triangular sign and a "No footway for 200 yds" sign should be sited in the vicinity to ///grow.handfuls.sketch on the east side of the C42 about 40 metres north of Heale House gates.(Please note that the owner of Heale House would wish to be consulted as to its location.)	Action – Agreed to proceed by the group	
f)	1-23-13 White bar marking Churchill Avenue Bulford	This small road is blocked on a regular basis negating the side access to the garages on both properties. Parish Council suggests that a white line of the following shape is introduced across the entrance to the road: -----	Action – Agreed to proceed by the group	
g)	1-23-14 Street name plate review London Road Shrewton	There are no road signs in London Road, Shrewton saying London Road. These are old properties but there doesn't seem to be any signs down London Road that tells anyone what the road is called, So delivery drivers struggle to find the addresses. There is also the cul de sac there does not have Houses leading to so again people are struggling to find house numbers etc	Action – Agreed to proceed by the group	
h)	1-23-15 Pedestrian Crossing, The Packway Larkhill Durrington	Following on from a meeting with the MOD, there are crossing points required on The Packway Larkhill	Action – Agreed to proceed by the group for pedestrian crossing assessment (Durrington support 25%) for further	

STONEHENGE LOCAL HIGHWAYS AND FOOTWAYS IMPROVEMENT GROUP ACTION NOTES

			consideration/potential substantive bid. Further investigations are required.	
i)	1-23-16 Verge Markers/planters Station Road/South Street Great Wishford	At the entrance to the village on the C10 from Wilton there is a triangle of grass where the road divides into Station Road and South Street. There is a large Oak tree, a bench and litter bin and is used by residents and visitors walking through the village. Heavy vehicles and buses turn at this point, often driving over it, and the grass is frequently churned up.	Action – Agreed to proceed by the group. Further discussion to be had with Great Wishford surrounding options. RS will set up meeting.	
j)	1-23-17 Byway 20 improvements Amesbury	The condition of Byway 20 from Princess Way to the public open space (Lynchets Field) is sub-standard. The bridleway is well used, is an access route to the primary schools and is not safe or suitable for the needs of the community. The wrong surface has been laid by the developer in at least two key areas, the section where the byway passes between Rushworth Row and Lancelot Way has subsided resulting in a significant pooling of rainwater. This section is used extensively by those walking to Kings Gate Primary School. The section leading onto Princess Way has been laid using ballast rather than a hoggin type material, this results in much loose material and as this section has a significant slope, in heavy rain material is washed onto the road.	Action – Group agree further investigation is required to determine land ownership and who should carry cost of improvements. RS to speak with development control. Consideration/potential substantive bid. Further investigations are required before group can support.	
k)	1-23-18 Railing at Christ the King Catholic School Amesbury	Our school entrance to the field, where children enter and leave the school, is extremely close to the road edge and there have been a couple of near misses lately.	Action – Agreed to proceed by the group	
l)	Durnford Speed limits Confirmation from parish	Concerns over the lack of speed limit through Great Durnford village. Request for a 30mph speed limit to be implemented. Durnford PC discussed issues in more details. Expressed there is no speed limit at all or any footways. Cllr Daley supports this issue and so do the rest of the group. Group agreed to progress. Contribution confirmed by Durnford PC.	Action – RS will monitor progress/keep village updated	

STONEHENGE LOCAL HIGHWAYS AND FOOTWAYS IMPROVEMENT GROUP ACTION NOTES

		<p>Issues highlighted with planning apps and process with highways development control. Cllr Wright committed to investigating this issue.</p> <p>Speed limit assessment recommendation submitted to Durnford Parish Council on 10th October 2022. Speed limit changes are recommended for this route.</p> <p>Invoice was sent for local contribution; TRO has been submitted to team to process. Graham Wright has advised the speed watch team that a speed indication device could be deployed in this area. Durnford to confirm contribution for implementation.</p> <p>DPC to confirm if they agreed to fund £1,750 of the cost of implementing this limit. Durnford confirm contribution, move to implementation phase</p> <p>Traffic regulation order submitted to the team for processing, awaiting live dates, suspected September</p>		
m)	<p>1-22-2 Orcheston 20mph speed limit</p>	<p>Orcheston has benefited from a 30mph speed limit since 2017. Nevertheless, in the past 5 years there has been a considerable increase in delivery traffic (always against the clock) as well as being the first choice for 4X4 drivers accessing Salisbury Plain. There are no pavement footpaths at all in the village and most cars are parked on the road. Where vehicles are parked off the road, access visibility is routinely poor and involves gradual “edging out”. When vehicles are parked opposite houses where residents have to “edge out”, there is no possibility of traffic avoiding vehicles “edging out”. Speed needs to be reduced.</p> <p>This matter was raised at the Parish Council in January 2022. Councillors supported a proposal to ask for a traffic survey to provide data as to the suitability of a proposed 20mph speed limit. Cllr Christopher Coats, who</p>	<p>Action – RS and GW to set up meeting with Orcheston, group hesitant to support speed limit implementation. Further discussions required to discuss options in terms of moving signs.</p>	

STONEHENGE LOCAL HIGHWAYS AND FOOTWAYS IMPROVEMENT GROUP ACTION NOTES

		<p>has been active on the Stonehenge Tunnel group, volunteered to represent the views of the parish council at the CATG. We recognise that 25% of the cost of the survey will be charged to Orcheston Parish Council.</p> <p>Group agreed to proceed with this project. PC confirmed 25% contribution.</p> <p>Speed limit assessment report issued to PC on 25/10/22 for review.</p> <p>Group support in principle and agreed to fund implementation subject to 25% contribution. Cost estimate in the region of £7,000 with 25% at £1,750.</p> <p>Invoice was sent for local contribution; TRO has been submitted to team to process. Contact from Orcheston which suggests they wish to discuss at the next parish meeting to confirm if they wish to proceed with implementation.</p> <p>Orcheston PC to confirm contribution/removal. RS to contact Orcheston PC for update with any issues on the agenda.</p>		
n)	<p>1-22-10 Amesbury village gates and planters</p>	<p>The Town already has Historic Amesbury signage at the 8 entrance routes into the Town, the purchasing and installing entrance gate and planters would make it more attractive to visitors and residents.</p> <p>Amesbury TC to confirmed top priority site to progress project. This has been submitted to the consultant to carry out the assessment which will likely be carried out in the new financial year. Further discussion required for type of planter etc required.</p> <p>RS to hold separate meeting with Amesbury TC to discuss further. Amesbury understand ongoing maintenance lies with the TC.</p> <p>RS met with Amesbury TC to discuss, initially site was chosen for Countess Road but this has changed to Vineys Farm.</p>	<p>Update – Group offer support 1 x village gate at Vineys Farm. RS will provide Amesbury TC with a design and cost ASAP.</p>	

STONEHENGE LOCAL HIGHWAYS AND FOOTWAYS IMPROVEMENT GROUP ACTION NOTES

o)	<p>1-22-14 Amesbury Salisbury Street signing</p>	<p>Request for larger taxi rank sign to indicate the area is for taxis to all road users.</p> <p>Amesbury TC agreed to ensure legal signs are visibility at all times and not obstructed by planters.</p> <p>Parking Services have added this site to the list for road markings to be refreshed. Works are imminent. RS has sent an email chasing up works.</p> <p>Parking sign can be enlarged at request of Town if group agree. Design to be submitted & rough cost</p> <p>Group agree in principle, review of all signs including loading – RS met Amesbury TC and agreed signs. Design and cost to be submitted to TC prior to meeting.</p>	<p>Action – Design was submitted, Amesbury TC to discuss if renewed signage is beneficial or if they would prefer to utilise funding to refresh lining</p>	
p)	<p>1-22-16 Figheldean speed limits</p>	<p>Requests to reduce the speed limit on the A345 from 50 MPH to 40 MPH through the village of Figheldean, to reduce the speed limit being 50 MPH to 30 MPH on the High Street entering the village of Figheldean and to reduce the general speed limit of 30 MPH to 20 MPH through the rest of the village of Figheldean and Ablington.</p> <p>LHFIG agreed to wait for outcome of A345 Netheravon speed limit assessment before agreeing a way forward for this request. No further action taken at this time.</p> <p>RS to update on progress with Netheravon and investigation 20mph zone within the village in the interim and feedback to Parish.</p> <p>Awaiting 25% confirmation for 20mph SLA, further discussion required surrounding if any improvements for safety can be made on the A345 at the bus stop whilst awaiting the outcome of the Netheravon trial.</p>	<p>Update – Final confirmation due from Figheldean, group support draft design. Figheldean to provide RS with further information via email in terms of homeowner agreement/placement alongside confirmation of 25%.</p>	

STONEHENGE LOCAL HIGHWAYS AND FOOTWAYS IMPROVEMENT GROUP ACTION NOTES

		<p>Confirmation given by Parish. Move forward with process – new SLA cost is £2900 meaning contributions of £725. RS to attend meeting with Figheledean PC to discuss issues on the A345.</p> <p>RS met with Figheledean PC to discuss options and has submitted designs for sign/line improvements which are ongoing, alongside ordering SLA for the Village which will hopefully be completed during September 2023.</p>		
q)	<p>1-22-18 Orcheston signing replacement</p>	<p>Request for replacement sign to campsite which is currently damaged and vandalised.</p> <p>Await further information from PC rep. Agreed in principle.</p> <p>RS to contact Orcheston PC for update with any issues on the agenda</p>	<p>Action – RS and GW to set up meeting with Orcheston, group hesitant to support speed limit implementation. Further discussions required to discuss options in terms of moving signs.</p>	
r)	<p>1-21-17 A345 Netheravon</p>	<p>Road Safety and Speeding. The Parish Council would like the speed limit reduced to 40 mph. There are houses and flats along the edge of the A345 and 8 turnings to the east of the road plus a Caravan Park and other collections of houses which enter the A345. Three of the turnings to the north have very poor visibility onto the A345 and many accidents plus fatalities have occurred over several years.</p> <p>Netheravon PC promoted issue and expressed they are wanting to use CSW if the limit can be reduced. Group agreed to progress. Contribution confirmed by Netheravon PC.</p> <p>Speed limit assessment recommendation submitted to Netheravon Parish Council on 7th October 2022. No speed limit changes recommended for this route.</p>	<p>Action – RS to proceed with submission of works pack and implementation.</p>	

STONEHENGE LOCAL HIGHWAYS AND FOOTWAYS IMPROVEMENT GROUP ACTION NOTES

		<p>Cllr Blair-Pilling and NPC highlighted disappointment in recommendation from Speed Limit Assessment. Ongoing discussions with Cabinet Member and senior officers within Wiltshire Council to determine an appropriate way forward. This will be kept on the agenda.</p> <p>Confirmation given that a trial will take place in Netheravon, initial meetings have taken place with an intended implementation in Summer/Autumn. This will also be discussed within the task group. Update given within the chair's updates.</p> <p>Confirmation provided by the Traffic Order team was given on 08/08/2023 that no complaints were raised against the order.</p>		
s)	<p>1-22-24 Bulford cycle signing</p>	<p>There is inadequate cycle way signage between the BULF05 combined footpath/cycle path and the Bulford to Solstice Park combined footpath/cycle path. This has led to members of the public including children being misdirected and cycling up Telegraph Hill to Amesbury. The road is narrow and unsuitable for the general public to cycle. The Bulford to Solstice Park combined footpath/cycle path was introduced originally to overcome this issue.</p> <p>The lack of adequate signage was raised as an issue when the Bulford to Solstice Park combined footpath/cycle path was introduced but was left unaddressed by Wiltshire Unitary Council. The introduction of the BULF05 combined footpath/cycle path has made matters worse as there is no clear crossing point between paths or coherent signage.</p> <p>Bulford Parish Council requests that a survey of signage be carried out and where necessary appropriate signage added.</p> <p>Email sent to Parish Council for joint site visit to discuss the extent of signage required. Meeting was agreed for 24th January. SDR results are</p>	<p>Action – Group support, Bulford confirmed 25% via email prior to meeting. RS to draft proposal</p>	

STONEHENGE LOCAL HIGHWAYS AND FOOTWAYS IMPROVEMENT GROUP ACTION NOTES

		<p>required which will commence in the spring to capture the extent of the problem at which point an estimate can be drawn up for agreement. Will remain on the agenda for group to agree cost once this has been completed.</p> <p>RS to now order SDR to capture data to allow an additional sign, to stay on the agenda and discuss following the results</p>		
--	--	--	--	--

STONEHENGE LOCAL HIGHWAYS AND FOOTWAYS IMPROVEMENT GROUP ACTION NOTES

5.	Other Issues			
a)	<p>1-21-5 B3083 between A303 and Berwick St James</p>	<p>The southern B3083 runs from the A303 in the north at Winterbourne Stoke and travels in a general southwards direction through Berwick St James and onwards towards Stapleford where it joins the A36. The subject of this letter is the section between Winterbourne Stoke and Berwick St James and, the area either side of the junction between the B3083 and the public footpaths known as WST01/BSJA6.</p> <p>Please refer to item attached to agenda for full details of issues and request for new infrastructure.</p> <p>At February meeting it was agreed to defer to next meeting and request a representative is present to discuss the issue further.</p> <p>At July meeting group agreed to move to top priority list and for KD to investigate signing improvements. KD and RS met with Andy Shuttleworth on site in August.</p> <p>Proposal sent to Winterbourne Stoke PC and Berwick St James PC for review. Please see attached proposal for signing and road marking improvements. The cost estimate is £2,000 (CATG 75% = £1,500, PC 25% = £500)</p> <p>Group agreed to go ahead with the scheme and for WSPC to contribute but not BSTJ PC due to it being a small parish with limited funds.</p> <p>Signing works complete. Road marking to be laid during spring 2022. Chair to provide an update on progress with task group meeting</p>	<p>Action – Taskgroup placed on hold until progression of the Netheravon Trial. AS asked RS to provide full SLA report.</p>	

STONEHENGE LOCAL HIGHWAYS AND FOOTWAYS IMPROVEMENT GROUP ACTION NOTES

		<p>Speed limit assessment submitted to consultant for completion. Winterbourne Stoke have confirmed contribution of the full 25% £625 for completion of the speed limit assessment.</p> <p>Speed limit assessment recommendation submitted to Berwick St James Parish Council on 14th October 2022. No speed limit changes recommended for this route.</p> <p>Ongoing discussions with senior officers within Wiltshire Council to determine an appropriate way forward. Further action will depend on this outcome. This will be kept of the agenda.</p> <p>This will be discussed within the taskgroup set up by Councillor Wright – to feedback on progress at next meeting</p> <p>Cllr Wright has emailed all parties – taskgroup is to be set up within April/May, awaiting results from Netheravon</p>		
b)	<p><u>1-21-10, 1-21-11, 1-21-12</u> C42 Woodford Valley – speeding and lack of footways</p>	<p>Discussion at the February meeting on issues 1-21-10, 1-21-11 and 1-21-12. PC and residents explained concerns over speeding traffic and lack of footways. All agreed to take a holistic approach to combine the Woodford issues and firstly look at speed limit review throughout Woodford Valley. Group agreed to fund assessment at £2,500 subject to Woodford PC contribution of 25%, TBC.</p> <p>AC suggested PC instruct parish steward to look at clearing undergrowth by railings. It was suggested the parish build an evidence log to be submitted to the consultant for consideration when the review is conducted.</p> <p>KD arranged site meeting with Woodford Parish Council which took place on 24th June 2021. Woodford Parish Council have confirmed 25% contribution</p>	<p>Action – Taskgroup placed on hold until progression of the Netheravon Trial.</p>	

STONEHENGE LOCAL HIGHWAYS AND FOOTWAYS IMPROVEMENT GROUP ACTION NOTES

		<p>towards the speed limit assessment. Woodford PC to confirm the extent of the assessment before I instruct the consultant to start. Woodford PC also confirmed they will arrange for the vegetation on the hill at the southern end of Upper Woodford to be cleared in order for us to assess whether a virtual footway can be accommodated.</p> <p>Extent plan confirmed with PC. Speed limit assessment submitted to consultant for action. Due to Covid-19 restrictions there is still a large backlog of traffic surveys to be completed across the county. I will continue to chase this speed limit assessment and provide an update in due course.</p> <p>Speed limit assessment final report and recommendation sent to Woodford Parish Council during April 2022. Subsequent correspondence between KD and Woodford PC regarding the recommendation and virtual footway where KD outlined criteria and reasoning behind the results during May/June 2022.</p> <p>Cllr Yuill and Cllr Daley added their comments and Cllr Daley agreed to escalate to Cabinet Member to request recommendations are overturned. Woodford PC to instruct Parish steward to clear and clean existing signing for better visibility. Cllr Wright wishes to escalate the process for speed limit assessments through scrutiny panel.</p> <p>Cllr Wright has emailed all parties – taskgroup is to be set up within April/May, awaiting results from Netheravon. Chair to provide an update on progress with task group meeting</p>		
c)	<p>Enford – C32 Coombe & East Chisenbury Confirmation from parish</p>	<p>Request for a speed limit assessment to reduce the existing 30mph speed limit to 20mph. This has been submitted as two separate requests but the Parish Council would like the group to agree for these to be combined into one assessment cost.</p>	<p>Action – RS will monitor progress/keep village updated</p>	

STONEHENGE LOCAL HIGHWAYS AND FOOTWAYS IMPROVEMENT GROUP ACTION NOTES

		<p>No representative at the meeting. However, the chair Cllr Wright, Cllr Blair Pilling, Kate Davey and Enford PC had a subsequent meeting to discuss the speed limit assessment. It was agreed to proceed. Contribution confirmed by Enford PC.</p> <p>Speed limit assessment in progress. Awaiting traffic survey results. Anticipate submitting recommendations before the end of the calendar year.</p> <p>Speed limit assessment report issued to PC on 25/10/22 for review.</p> <p>Group support in principle and agreed to fund implementation subject to 25% contribution. Cost estimate in the region of £11,000 with 25% at £2,750.</p> <p>Invoice was sent for local contribution, TRO has been submitted to team to process. Enford to confirm contribution for implementation</p> <p>Confirmation of contribution confirmed – this will be processed for implementation in new financial year. Traffic regulation order submitted to the team for processing, awaiting live dates, suspected September</p>		
d)	1-22-6 Durrington issues	<p>Group agreed funding. Town Council agreed contribution at July 2022 meeting.</p> <p>1) Coronation Road dropped kerbs have been completed and can be removed</p> <p>2) Issues with of public foot-way on A345 Netheravon Road South East side where it drops down to the old a345 road. Pedestrian wayfinding sign has been ordered and suggested implementation by the end of the financial year.</p> <p>3) Bollards outside the Chemists on Bulford Road have been completed and can be removed.</p> <p>4) Faded road markings list was provided by Cllr Graham Wright and was submitted for maintenance by RS. LHFIG can fund this work if group agree.</p>	Action – RS to chase status of white lining and submit works order for remaining issue	

STONEHENGE LOCAL HIGHWAYS AND FOOTWAYS IMPROVEMENT GROUP ACTION NOTES

		<p>5) New fixing post required for 3 Welcome to Durrington Signs. Additions:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Two street nameplates missing to be replaced. Group agree.(Stonehenge Road o-s Inn & Glendale Road north) 2. Additional WR at Bulford Road was added to WR batch 001 for formal consultation 20th October – 21st November 2022. Waiting Restriction batch 001 cabinet report has been submitted for a final decision. <p>1, 2, 3, 4 & 5 (and additional 2) completed and can be removed from agenda. Additional 1 ongoing. Comments raised from Cllr Wright in ref to the quality of the white lining which is to be investigated.</p>		
e)	<p>1-22-8/11 Amesbury waiting restrictions</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) Archers Gate in vicinity of the school. 2) Holders Road in vicinity of Stonehenge School. <p>Group agreed funding of £7,000 to progress Waiting Reviews batch 001 for 2022/23.Waiting Restriction batch 001 cabinet report has been submitted for a final decision following the end of the formal consultation phase will be 20th October – 21st November 2022.</p> <p>Cabinet member report accepted – this will be submitted via the website and now awaits final appeal time.</p> <p>Order for the contractor is being prepared by the apprentice for implementation ASAP</p>	<p>Action – RS will monitor progress/keep group updated</p>	
f)	<p>1-22-9 Amesbury speed limit requests</p>	<p>Request for reduction in speed limits on Porton Road (40), Countess Road (40), Holders Road (30/20zone), Pendragon Way, Salisbury Street (30), High Street (30) and Church Street (30).</p> <p>LHFIG requested Amesbury TC confirm top priority for 2022/23. Amesbury confirmed support to progress Porton Road this financial year and agreed 25% contribution.</p>	<p>Action - Awaiting outcome of Netheravon speed limit assessment. Keep on agenda.</p>	

STONEHENGE LOCAL HIGHWAYS AND FOOTWAYS IMPROVEMENT GROUP ACTION NOTES

		<p>Speed Limit assessment extent plan submitted to Amesbury TC for review 10/10/22. Awaiting confirmation before issuing to consultant for action.</p> <p>Amesbury TC confirmed extent they wish to submit on Porton Road. This has been sent with a report to the consultant for consideration.</p> <p>Upon discussion with Amesbury TC, it was suggested to wait until the outcome of Netheravon Trial.</p>		
g)	<p>1-22-19 Orcheston crossroads Webbs Hill (C291) speeding</p>	<p>Traffic rat running to avoid Shrewton centre comes down Webb's Hill at maximum speed despite 30mph signs 100m west of crossroads. There is a need to cause traffic to slow down before the crossroads. Request for measures to be installed to slow traffic down prior to the crossroads.</p> <p>This will be considered subject to outcome of the 20mph speed limit in Ocheston village.</p> <p>Await further information from PC rep/ confirmation of 20mph speed limit before progressing.</p>	<p>Action – RS and GW to set up meeting with Orcheston, group hesitant to support speed limit implementation. Further discussions required to discuss options in terms of moving signs.</p>	
h)	<p>1-22-20 Winterbourne Stoke signing</p>	<p>Request for various signing replacements and amendments along Church Street, Winterbourne Stoke.</p> <p>WSPC comments replacement signs where locations have been spelt incorrectly, in need of repairs and additional signs in some places. Group agreed in principle. Please see below breakdown of supplied additional information to form.</p> <p>Desktop survey has been conducted, site visit to ensure safe implementation is required.</p> <p>2) Replacement advised</p>	<p>Action – Proceed to works pack submission following return of consent form (still awaiting)</p>	

STONEHENGE LOCAL HIGHWAYS AND FOOTWAYS IMPROVEMENT GROUP ACTION NOTES

		<p>3) Replacement advised, Brown signs are not suitable for churches however a black and white directional sign can be installed (group to agree)</p> <p>6) Removal to be organised</p> <p>7) Parish Steward can be instructed to clean the sign</p> <p>8) Replacement advised</p> <p>9) Above signage should indicate the location and continuation of Church Street, as above within 3 for brown sign info</p> <p>10) Replacement advised</p> <p>11) Removal to be organised</p> <p>Rough estimate £2000 – subject to contract changes and traffic management requirement. RS due to submit formal plan to Parish Council for acceptance</p> <p>RS submitted plans to Winterbourne Stoke who confirmed acceptance however are awaiting return of consent form to attach one of the Street name plates onto a private fence prior to implementation. Letter has been sent to the resident.</p>		
i)	<p>1-22-21 Upper Woodford bridge damage repairs</p>	<p>Damaged pillar on the north side of the river bridge at Upper Woodford, bridge end adjacent to the C42. The stone capping has been knocked off into the hedge, and the two retaining stones are now unaligned. Presumably the damage was caused by a large vehicle having to negotiate the turn onto the C42 from the bridge with the many vehicles parked on the road adjacent to the public house.</p> <p>Request for repairs to be undertaken.</p> <p>Currently liaising with bridges team to find out the extent of works required and costs involved.</p> <p>WPC rep comments previous bridge repairs completed under traffic signals. RS confirmed currently not a safety matter so will coordinate closure in due</p>	<p>Action - Update notes reflect current status – NFA at this stage</p>	

STONEHENGE LOCAL HIGHWAYS AND FOOTWAYS IMPROVEMENT GROUP ACTION NOTES

		course. Leave on agenda and monitor through bridge team. Group agreed in principle.		
j)	1-22-22 Netheravon Stagg's Lane street name plate	<p>Request for street name plate for Stagg's Lane, Netheravon.</p> <p>Group agreed in principle. Cllr Blair-Pilling in support. Group agree to fund and implement. Cost estimation of £500 (25% £125).</p> <p>Correct spelling confirmed and updated on the agenda, this will be ordered and implementation will happen in the new financial year.</p> <p>Checks on the gazetteer show incorrect street name with conflicting information – emails have been sent to the records team to confirm if the name Stagg's Lane can be used and this has been temporarily put on hold. Parish have been updated.</p> <p>Records team have responded today to state that this does not currently have an official name, to add a name a process must be completed. Details of which will be submitted to the PC. Keep on agenda.</p>	Action – Update notes reflect current status – NFA at this stage	
k)	1-22-23 Durnford Longhedge roundabout speeding	<p>Two residential drives on the west side of the A345 just north of the new Longhedge roundabout. Currently the speed limit changes from 40mph to national speed limit almost adjacent to the driveways. Traffic heading north accelerate as soon as they leave the roundabout making access/egress dangerous and traffic heading south do not reduce speed until after the location of these driveways.</p> <p>Request for 40mph to be extended further north beyond these access points, concealed entrance signs and vegetation cutting along the verge to increase visibility.</p>	Action - NFA for LHFIFG at this time. Keep on agenda for now.	

STONEHENGE LOCAL HIGHWAYS AND FOOTWAYS IMPROVEMENT GROUP ACTION NOTES

		<p>Hedge/trees are responsibility of the landowner, however have been trimmed back now. Durnford PC to confirm if the matter is still an issue and group to discuss if this is still required. From the speed assessment it showed average speeds of 37 heading Northbound and 50 heading Southbound. A TRO would need to be submitted at a cost of £2000 and as these signs are electric a rough cost of £6000 (depending on new contract rates). This also falls over Stonehenge and Southern area boards and both would need to agree costs. Awaiting DPC update.</p> <p>Further discussion with homeowner & maintenance team as to private tree cutting required.</p>		
l)	<p>1-22-25 Shrewton A360 – traffic calming measures</p>	<p>Issue speeding traffic, especially drivers overtaking traffic already travelling at the speed limit and then continuing at excessive speed. There is a pavement so pedestrian involvement is minimal except when attempting to cross the road. Recent example – Cllr. attending a SID on the A360 (near the Chitterne Road junction) witnessed a Dutch car (so driver sitting 'on the wrong side' for good visibility) towing a trailer overtaking multiple vehicles of slower traffic.</p> <p>Traffic islands on the A360 Maddington St north of the Sports & Social Club, and on the A360 Salisbury Road also between the mini-roundabout and the B3083 road towards Winterbourne Stoke.</p> <p>Our policy states we do not put traffic calming on an A road. I understand the concerns and therefore I have ordered a traffic survey to investigate into the matter further. No charge to LHFIG or Parish. This will remain on the agenda whilst this is carried out.</p> <p>RS to chase traffic survey team for a date, once results are back this will be reviewed and discussed with the parish council further</p>	<p>Action – RS will monitor progress/keep village updated</p>	

STONEHENGE LOCAL HIGHWAYS AND FOOTWAYS IMPROVEMENT GROUP ACTION NOTES

		<p>Traffic surveys expected for June/July, feedback should be due before next meeting.</p> <p>Contractor providing traffic surveys is experiencing delays and this site was moved to after the school holidays (September)</p>		
m)	<p>1-23-1 Amesbury road markings & waiting restrictions</p>	<p>Often there are parked cars either side of the road (South Mill). The bigger issue is then, for instance, fire engines/larger vehicle access. There are also no road markings once you leave Salisbury Road into South Mill.</p> <p>Waiting restrictions to be added to the next years submission of waiting restrictions if agreed by group, existing white lining can be raised via maintenance or LHFIFG but will be the new financial year.</p> <p>Group supported to be submitted on waiting restrictions order for 2023/2024 order. Amesbury TC to confirm if they wish to fully fund themselves or wait for the next batch. Amesbury confirmed inclusion within next WR batch.</p>	<p>Action – Remain on agenda and add to new WR list</p>	
n)	<p>5795 A3028 from Double Hedges approaching new roundabout - No waiting at any time</p>	<p>Group agreed funding of £7,000 to progress Waiting Reviews batch 001 for 2022/23. Local Contributions to be confirmed in due course.</p> <p>Waiting Restriction batch 001 cabinet report has been submitted for a final decision following the end of the formal consultation phase will be 20th October – 21st November 2022.</p> <p>Cabinet member report accepted – this will be submitted via the website and now awaits final appeal time</p> <p>Order for the contractor is being prepared by the apprentice for implementation ASAP</p>	<p>Action – RS will monitor progress/keep village updated</p>	

STONEHENGE LOCAL HIGHWAYS AND FOOTWAYS IMPROVEMENT GROUP ACTION NOTES

<p>o)</p>	<p>1-21-16 Shrewton, Tanners Lane – damage to property</p>	<p>Resident of No. 1 Tanners Lane is concerned that the corner of the property keeps getting hit by passing vehicles. It is an old cob building and each time it is hit the resident is worried that it is causing structural damage as well as costing money to repair the cob and guttering. Request for bollard/pole to be installed on the corner to protect the property. Please see attached photos at the end of the agenda.</p> <p>Site visit undertaken during September 2021. Options for a solution to be discussed at the meeting. Solutions to amend/add road markings and a bollard to be discussed at the meeting.</p> <p>Cllr Daley explained his site visit to this location with Cabinet Member for Highways Cllr Mark McClelland and the issues regarding property damage. Cllr Daley to chase option of rerouting idVerde vehicles in the other direction.</p> <p>Further correspondence from the property owner in November 2021 received, indicating its not only refuse vehicles but other lorries delivering along Tanners Lane or using it as a short cut. Resident has suggested one tall post be installed at the corner of the property.</p> <p>Nikki Spreadbury Clew to send Cllr Wright email information on this issue. Cllr Wright to look at site and discuss options with Shrewton PC and KD.</p> <p>Agreed to implement hatched road markings around the property only and remove the changes to the give way junction opposite. Further proposal to implement bollard within the newly hatched area to be discussed for funding approval.</p> <p>Works have been ordered with the contractor and are due by the end of the financial year.</p>	<p>Action – RS will monitor progress/keep village updated (lining now completed, awaiting bollard)</p>	
-----------	---	--	---	--

STONEHENGE LOCAL HIGHWAYS AND FOOTWAYS IMPROVEMENT GROUP ACTION NOTES

		<p>Project delayed and was unable to be completed by the end of the contract. This has been submitted as a new pack to the contractor however no date has yet been given – further discussion on bollard type required. New order to be placed with Milestone, use of the reboundable bollard will be paid via the group rather than Shrewton</p> <p>Order remains with contractor, date not yet given</p>		
p)	<p>1-21-18 C283 From Stoford bottom to A36 junction</p>	<p>The C283 is a well-known "rat-run" for traffic from the A360 to the A36; the volumes and speed of the traffic increase when there are difficulties upon the A303. There are no footpaths located on either side of the narrow road. Traffic speeds through the village in excess of the identified 30 mph restriction and is a danger to all inhabitants (both young and old) of the village.</p> <p>The traffic speed survey, performed in December 2019, identified -</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> i) 85th percentile speed was 37.4mph. ii) 57.4% of recorded vehicles were exceeding the posted speed limit. <p>Request for existing 30mph speed limit to be reduced to 20mph and for a pedestrian walkway from the upper entrance to the Mount Pleasant Estate to the A36 junction adjacent to the Swan public house.</p> <p>South Newton & Stoford PC discussed issues in more detail. Cllr Daley supports issue. Action with PC to submit traffic survey in location where CSW takes place to determine a more recent average speed.</p> <p>PC contacted KD to clarify traffic survey procedure and they have submitted a request. Add virtual footway to issue and investigate once survey is complete.</p> <p>Results received for Stoford Bottom, Stoford: 85%ile = 31.55mph and mean speed = 25.6mph</p>	<p>Action – RS will monitor progress/keep village updated</p>	

STONEHENGE LOCAL HIGHWAYS AND FOOTWAYS IMPROVEMENT GROUP ACTION NOTES

		<p>This site does not meet the criteria for a virtual footway due to issues with forward visibility and a daily traffic average of 2509 vehicles > 1500. There is an option to add slow markings (with rumble effect) or yellow backed pedestrian signs – group/parish to discuss and support funding up to the max remaining budget.</p> <p>Plans submitted to Stoford for Lining and Signing improvements for discussion at Parish meeting – plans attached to agenda. Group agreed, RS to supply new updated cost to Stoford and proceed with implementation (likely around £1000).</p> <p>Works pack has been Submitted to contractor for implementation, no date given yet. Cost estimate £2000 with additional agreed at last meeting.</p>		
q)	<p>1-22-27 Shrewton B3083 entrance to village from Winterbourne Stoke – signing/road markings</p>	<p>Safety of pedestrians as motorists enter the village from Winterbourne Stoke. There are no pavements, with traffic often travelling at excessive speed.</p> <p>Request measures such as markings / rumble strips on B3083 at the 30mph terminal to emphasise to drivers coming into the village from Winterbourne Stoke that they are entering a 30mph zone and there are pedestrians in the road.</p> <p>Cllr Daley submitted comments prior to meeting: confirms support for this request. Group agreed to support this issue.</p> <p>RS to prepare proposal and cost estimate for parish</p> <p>Proposal submitted to Parish for consideration. To discuss/agree. Design submitted with agenda. Village terminal lines agreed by Parish (see design), use of edge of carriageway lines also agreed and contributions confirmed. Proceed with implementation.</p>	<p>Action – RS will monitor progress/keep village updated</p>	

STONEHENGE LOCAL HIGHWAYS AND FOOTWAYS IMPROVEMENT GROUP ACTION NOTES

		Order has been submitted to the contractor for implementation, date not yet provided. Cost estimate £1950.		
r)	1-22-28 Shrewton Rollestone Crossroads weight limit signing amendments	<p>Current signing states 'weight limit 1/4 mile ahead' above a HGV weight limit advance warning sign, below the warning it states 'Alternative route use (A360)'. This relies on the driver remembering the previous sign (which is still face down following Storm Eunice) 200m earlier showing the (A360) as a left turn.</p> <p>Request for improved signing on the eastern approach to the Rollestone Crossroads. Suggest something along the lines of 'Turn left to avoid weight restriction'.</p> <p>As per the traffic regulations the only edit permissible for this type of sign is to add an arrow. A new sign will need to be ordered. Group to discuss this further in the LHFIG and Shrewton to confirm funding. Cost estimate of £1200 which may change due to contract rate changes.</p> <p>Proposal submitted to Parish for consideration. To discuss/agree. Design submitted with agenda.</p> <p>Agreed, proceed with implementation alongside review of what current distance is stated at.</p> <p>Order has been submitted to the contractor for implementation, date not yet provided. Cost estimate £1200.</p>	Action – RS will monitor progress/keep village updated	
s)	1-23-2 Beverley Hills Park entrance, Amesbury kerbs	The elderly residents are unable to get their mobility scooters up onto the pavement using the current drop curb, without putting themselves dangerously near a busy road. The height of the curb leading up to it, is also too high to 'bump' the mobility scooters up without a risk of toppling or causing further injury to a physically vulnerable person.	Action – RS is still preparing a design to submit to Amesbury TC. Cost estimate £3000.	

STONEHENGE LOCAL HIGHWAYS AND FOOTWAYS IMPROVEMENT GROUP ACTION NOTES

		<p>This is the ONLY access point for the residents to exit the site.</p> <p>Group support, move to design phase</p>		
t)	<p>1-23-3 Stonehenge Road Amesbury speed limit</p>	<p>Speeding traffic, Amesbury TC have supported a reduction in speed limit from 40 to 30 along this stretch of road.</p> <p>Keep on the agenda, Amesbury TC to discuss cost to benefit and confirm if they would like a SLA but will likely wait for trial</p>	<p>Action – RS will keep on agenda until completion of Netheravon Trial to discuss again</p>	
u)	<p>1-23-4 Holders Road Amesbury signs</p>	<p>Need to indicate the school entrance to vehicle drivers and danger of children accessing/leaving the school</p> <p>Group support, move to design phase</p> <p>Design will be submitted to Amesbury TC for consideration prior to meeting. Cost estimate £1000.</p>	<p>Action – Amesbury TC to confirm 25% contribution</p>	
v)	<p>1-23-5 Trinity grain LTD Shrewton signs</p>	<p>The Trinity Grain would like a Highway – No Turning Right for HGV's to be erected on the current sign directing traffic to Salisbury on A360.</p> <p>Trinity has erected the sign on our land, not a highway and it is not in the best position to be visible to all HGV's. From Trinity Grains HSE inspection. Our HSE inspector advised me to ask Wiltshire Council if was possible to move the sign from its current position on the right hand of our gate as you leave to site to be on the sign opposite our gate.</p> <p>As there is weight limit going through Shrewton High Street the better visibility of the sign will reaffirm the rule that no HGV's go through Shrewton. Most of the HGV vehicle drivers are regulars and know not to go through Shrewton village</p> <p>Group support, move to design phase (Trinity Grain to confirm cover of cost)</p>	<p>Action - RS is still preparing a design to submit to Parish. Cost estimate of £1100</p>	

STONEHENGE LOCAL HIGHWAYS AND FOOTWAYS IMPROVEMENT GROUP ACTION NOTES

w)	<p>1-23-6 Middle Woodford school keep clear markings</p>	<p>We would like to propose to move the parking/waiting restrictions on the public highway currently positioned in front of the original school house and relocate the highway restrictions to the current vehicular entrance to the school.</p> <p>The busiest times of the day outside the school entrance are between 8.25am to 8.45am and then between 14.45pm and 15.15pm.</p> <p>During these peak times parents often find parking difficult and need to resort to parking on the highway. They are parking too close to the vehicular entrance to the school site making visibility very difficult for anyone driving out of the entrance. Driver's ability to clearly view other vehicles driving past the school is dramatically reduced, making it very dangerous to re-join the highway.</p> <p>The repositioning of the restrictions will avoid vehicles parking too close to the site entrance and improve visibility to those driving out of the site, thus reducing the risk of any accident occurring</p> <p>Group agreed to add to waiting restrictions list for new financial year.</p>	<p>Action – Remain on agenda and add to new WR list</p>	
x)	<p>1-23-7 Kilford Close / Archer's Way Amesbury</p>	<p>Following comments after the last LHFIG that a resident had complained about signage to his property. The signage is confusing, for a delivery driver it must be a challenge as the only street nameplate directs you along a footpath. Could a nameplate adjacent to number 36 be the answer?</p> <p>Group support, move to design phase. Initial design was submitted to Amesbury TC who will confirm with resident before implementation. Cost estimate £1500.</p>	<p>Action – Amesbury TC prior to meeting confirmed design & 25% contribution. Move to implementation.</p>	

STONEHENGE LOCAL HIGHWAYS AND FOOTWAYS IMPROVEMENT GROUP ACTION NOTES

6.	Closed Issues			
a)	<p><u>1-21-21</u> Bulford, junction 15 & 16 roundabouts</p>	<p>There are inadequate safe crossing points for pedestrians on both Junction 15 & 16 in Bulford Village. Bulford Parish Council has raised this issue with leader of the council on numerous occasions and the local Councillor fully supports our case. Council requests that pedestrian crossing surveys are carried out at both junctions. Supporting information attached to end of the agenda.</p> <p>GW conducted site visit with PC to assess pedestrian issues surrounding mini roundabouts. Bulford PC confirmed this is the No 1 priority. KD attended site on 23/5/22 with Cllr Verbinnen and Graham Jenkins to discuss proposals for informal crossing points. Please see attached proposal plans for discussion. Cost estimate is in the region of £9,000 (LHFIG = £6,750, 25% contribution = £2,250). Group agreed funding of all sites. Bulford PC confirmed contribution.</p> <p>Designs submitted and agreed. Order has been sent to contractor but will be delayed until spring 2023 in line with the surfacing due to weather conditions over the winter period as suggested by the parish. See other outstanding job.</p> <p>New pack submitted to contractor – anticipated both due in summer – current aim for June</p>	<p>Update - Completed</p>	
b)	<p><u>1-22-12</u> Amesbury Flower Lane direction signs</p>	<p>Request for direction signing to vet practice on Flower Lane.</p> <p>There is no legal requirement for a Highway Authority to erect and fund direction signs. A design has been submitted to the contractor who now also</p>	<p>Update – Completed (vets to be billed)</p>	

STONEHENGE LOCAL HIGHWAYS AND FOOTWAYS IMPROVEMENT GROUP ACTION NOTES

		<p>have contact details for the vets for funding. As and when agreed and paid the sign will be implemented</p> <p>Update notes reflect current status</p>		
c)	<p>1-21-20 Durrington, A3028 mini roundabout junction with Bulford Road</p>	<p>Concerns raised over the eastward travelling vehicles not giving way at the mini roundabout to traffic coming from Bulford Road. Request for road marking improvements to include give way lining and sign.</p> <p>Leave this issue until it becomes No. 1 priority for Durrington TC.</p> <p>Durrington TC to discuss top priorities locally and clarify the direction in which this issue occurs. Group agreed to proceed with this project.</p> <p>Mini roundabout road markings have recently been refreshed with the existing arrangement. Additional road markings proposal attached to end of agenda. £500 (LHFIG = £375, 25% contribution = £125). Group agreed funding.</p> <p>Order to be placed with contractor with anticipated completion by the end of the calendar year. Chasing implementation.</p> <p>Unable to complete with works in Bulford due to the traffic management need.</p>	<p>Action – Following a discussion with Chair/Durrington TC representative it was agreed that the improvements made (lining) was currently satisfactory and this can be removed from the agenda. Action for maintenance team to replace rusted school signs prior to the roundabout.</p>	
d)	<p>1-22-17 Great Wishford speed limit roundels</p>	<p>Request to introduce carriageway speed limit roundels to reinforce the existing speed limit through the village.</p> <p>GWPC Rep joined meeting to comment on concerns of speeding in 20mph, to increase awareness and reinforce limit approx. 3 or 4 roundels would improve the issue. Group agreed in principle.</p>	<p>Action – Order completed, remove from agenda</p>	

STONEHENGE LOCAL HIGHWAYS AND FOOTWAYS IMPROVEMENT GROUP ACTION NOTES

		<p>Parish council confirmed locations, an estimate is due to be provided for works and implementation will be within the new financial year.</p> <p>Proposal submitted to Parish Council for consideration. Current cost estimate total £3150, contribution of £787.50 but can be reduced depending on number of roundels required.</p> <p>Parish have now confirmed contribution. Move ahead with implementation.</p> <p>Order has been submitted to the contractor for implementation, date not yet provided. Cost estimate £3150.</p>		
7.	Other Items			
a)	Speed limit reviews	<p>The group discussed in detail the way speed limit reviews are done. Graham Wright confirmed the process of how Atkins carry these out once they have been requested by the LHFIF. Further consideration is required and GW took an action to set up a task force with members of the LHFIF who have outstanding or completed contentious speed limit reviews to discuss in more detail how this will be raised with the cabinet for a potential reviews of the processes and policies. RS to provide contact details to GW in order to set up a first meeting. Many issues on the agenda plus a trial of Netheravon have been discussed. GW to provide an update at the next LHFIF meeting on how this has gone to the group.</p> <p>Cllr wright has sent initial emails with an aim to set up this taskforce between April/May</p>	Update – To be provided by the chair	
b)	Waiting restrictions	<p>Please submit all waiting restriction requests for this financial year <u>before Wednesday 9th August</u> to ensure the group can get together a new WR package for submission.</p>	Update – Please get your orders in, I have only received 3 requests.	

STONEHENGE LOCAL HIGHWAYS AND FOOTWAYS IMPROVEMENT GROUP ACTION NOTES

c)	Telegraph Hill	Bulford PC raised the matter surrounding ongoing issues on Telegraph Hill. GW and RS have taken an action to investigate further.	Update – Spoken with Spencer Drinkwater, no positive update at this stage	
8.	Date of Next Meeting:	15 th November 2023 @ 10am (Microsoft Teams meeting)		
9.	<p><u>PRIORITY SCHEMES LISTED BELOW (SCHEMES HIGHLIGHTED IN YELLOW REQUIRE FUNDING APPROVAL FROM THE AREA BOARD. ITEMS IN GREY ARE ALREADY AGREED AND CURRENTLY IN PROGRESS):</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. 1-21-13 Great Wishford Village Gates £6,624.66 (CATG £4,968.49, Great Wishford PC £1,656.16) 2. 1-20-15 Durrington 20mph speed limit implementation £11,000 (CATG £8,250, Durrington TC £2,750) 3. 1-21-15 Shrewton London Road street lighting assessment £2,500 (LHFIG £2,500) 4. 1-21-21 Bulford dropped kerbs and HFS treatment £9,000 (LHFIG 6,750, Bulford PC £2,250) 5. 1-21-16 Shrewton Tanners Lane road markings £1,000 (LHFIG £1,000) 6. 1-21-20 Durrington A3028 mini roundabout road markings £500 (LHFIG £500) 7. 1-21-22 Woodford Avon Bridge warning signs £1,200 (LHFIG £900, Woodford PC £300 TBC) 8. Batch 001 Waiting Restrictions for 2022/23 - £7,000 (LHFIG £7,000, Agreed no local contributions required). 9. 1-22-1 Stoford C283 village gate and road markings £5,000 (LHFIG £3,750, South Newton & Stoford PC £1,250) 10. 1-22-6 Durrington issues approx. value £6,000 (LHFIG £4,500, Durrington TC £1,500) 11. 1-22-9 Amesbury Porton Road Speed Limit Assessment £2,500 (LHFIG £1,875, Amesbury TC £625) 12. Durnford 30mph speed limit implementation £7,000 (LHFIG £5,250, Durnford PC £1,750 TBC) 13. East Chisenbury & Coombe 20mph speed limit implementation £11,000 (LHFIG £8,250, Enford PC £2,750 TBC) 14. 1-22-2 Orcheston 20mph speed limit implementation £7,000 (LHFIG £5,250, Orcheston PC £1,750 TBC) 15. 1-22-22 Netheravon Stag Lane street name plate £500 (LHFIG £375, Netheravon PC £125 TBC) 16. 1-22-26 Shrewton B3086 and B3083 additional signposts £300 (LHFIG £225, Shrewton PC £75 TBC) 17. 1-21-18 Stoford bottom signing and lining improvements (LHFIG 750, Stoford £250) 18. 1-22-16 Figheldean 20mph speed limit assessment (LHFIG 2175, Figheldean £725) 19. 1-22-17 Great Wishford 20mph roundels (LHFIG £2363, Great Wishford £788) 20. 1-22-27 Shrewton B3083 signing and lining improvements (LHFIG £900, Shrewton £300) 21. 1-22-28 Shrewton Rollestone Crossroads weight limit signing amendments (LHFIG £1088, Shrewton £363) 			

STONEHENGE LOCAL HIGHWAYS AND FOOTWAYS IMPROVEMENT GROUP ACTION NOTES

- | | |
|--|---|
| | <p>22. 1-22-14 Amesbury Salisbury Street signage review(LHFIG £0, Amesbury TC £1100)</p> <p>23. 1-23-2 Beverley Hills Park entrance dropped kerbs Amesbury (LHFIG £2275, Amesbury TC £725)</p> <p>24. 1-23-3 Stonehenge Road Amesbury SLA (LHFIG £2175, Amesbury TC £725)</p> <p>25. 1-23-4 Holders Road Amesbury school signs (LHFIG £750, Amesbury TC £250)</p> <p>26. 1-23-5 Trinity grain LTD Shrewton Signs (LHFIG £0, Trinity Grain £1100)</p> <p>27. Batch 002 Waiting restrictions batch 2023/2024 (LHFIG £7000)</p> <p>28. 1-23-7 Kilford Close Streetname plates Amesbury (LHFIG £1125, Amesbury £375)</p> <p>29. 1-22-16 Figheldean sign and lining improvements (LHFIG £2175, Figheldean £725)</p> <p>30. 1-23-15 Pedestrian crossing assessment Larkhill (LHFIG £2175, Durrington TC £725)</p> |
|--|---|

Stonehenge Area Local Highways and Footways Improvement Group Highways Officer – Rhiann Surgenor (RS) (maternity cover for Kate Davey)

1. Environmental & Community Implications

- 1.1. Environmental and community implications were considered by the LHFIG during their deliberations. The funding of projects will contribute to the continuance and/or improvement of environmental, social and community wellbeing in the community area, the extent and specifics of which will be dependent upon the individual project.

2. Financial Implications

- 2.1. All decisions must fall within the Highways funding allocated to Amesbury Area Board.
- 2.2. If funding is allocated in line with LHFIG recommendations outlined in this report, and all relevant 3rd party contributions are confirmed, Amesbury Area Board will have a remaining Highways funding balance remaining budget of **17,265.67**.

3. Legal Implications

- 3.1. There are no specific legal implications related to this report.

4. HR Implications

- 4.1. There are no specific HR implications related to this report.

STONEHENGE LOCAL HIGHWAYS AND FOOTWAYS IMPROVEMENT GROUP ACTION NOTES

5. Equality and Inclusion Implications

5.1 The schemes recommended to the Area Board will improve road safety for all users of the highway.

6. Safeguarding implications

6.1 There are no specific safeguarding implications related to this report.